

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,259	06/25/2004	Paul M. Lindberg	104497-423-PCT(US)	. 8922
7590 07/25/2007 Goodwin Procter 103 Eisenhower Parkway			EXAMINER LE, DANG D	
Roseland, NJ 07068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**************************************	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/500,259	LINDBERG ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dang D. Le	2834 correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	<u>1ay 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☑ Claim(s) 21 and 23 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/14/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rigney, II et al. (5,220,232).

Regarding claim 22, Rigney, II et al. shows a method of making a magnetic shaft (Figure 6) comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/500,259 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

 Providing a stack comprising a plurality of discrete magnets (62, 64) having an initial stack diameter;

- Providing a sleeve (68) having a magnetic permeability greater than 2.0; and
- Assembling the sleeve over the stack and to form a shaft (column 6, lines 35-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brende et al. (4,439,699) in view of Cheung et al. (6,812,598).

Regarding claim 1, Brende et al. shows a magnetic motor (Figure 2) comprising:

- A first motor assembly (37) comprising:

Art Unit: 2834

- A first surface layer (inner circumferential surface of 37), and a first magnet
 (35 does not have to be permanent magnet), fixed with respect to the first surface layer, structured to generate a first magnetic field; and
- A second motor assembly (10) comprising:
- A second magnet (18), and a second surface layer (outer circumferential surface of 14 between walls 16) in the form of the sleeve, located so that at least a portion of the second surface layer is in contact with at least a portion of the first surface layer, with the second surface layer comprising a material that has relative magnetic permeability of x, wherein x is greater than 2.0.
- Said second motor assembly structured to generate a second magnetic field defined by at least said second magnet (flux lines in Figure 2) and said second surface layer, with the first and second motor assemblies being structured so that forces caused by the interaction of the first and second magnetic fields will cause the first motor assembly and the second motor assembly to move relative to each other, and with the first and second surface layers being in moving contact to at least partially guide the relative motion of the first and second motor assemblies.

Brende et al. does not show the first and second surface layers as bearing surface layers.

For the purpose of reducing cost, Cheung et al. teaches to form the bearing surface layers (16) between the moving component (4) and the stationary component (6).

Art Unit: 2834

Since Brende et al. and Cheung et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

Regarding claim 2, Brende et al. also shows the motor being high thrust.

Regarding claims 3 and 7, it is noted that Brende et al. also shows x being greater than 100 (core 50 made of steel).

8. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brende et al. in view of Cheung et al. and further in view of Trench (3,149,255).

Regarding claim 4, the machine of Brende et al. modified by Cheung et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention including the first motor assembly being a stator; the first bearing surface layer comprising a bushing; the first magnet being an electromagnet such that the first magnetic field can be selectively controlled; the second motor assembly comprise at least one permanent magnet except for the shaft; the second bearing surface layer being located over at least a portion of the shaft; and the second magnet located within the shaft.

For the purpose of transmitting output power externally, Trench shows the shaft (24); the second bearing surface layer (surface of pole 18) being located over at least a portion of the shaft; and the second magnet (17) located within the shaft.

Since Brende et al., Cheung et al., and Trench are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

_ - - - .

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the shaft as taught by Trench for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Brende et al. also shows a doubly salient (poles formed on left and right) motor.

Regarding claim 6, it is noted that Trench also shows the shaft comprises: a plurality of annular permanent magnets (17); a plurality of pole pieces (18), with the magnets and the pole pieces being assembled in an alternating manner (Figure 1); and a sleeve (19) disposed at least partially around the alternating magnets and pole pieces (not claimed "entirely"), with the sleeve comprising an outer major surface, and the second bearing surface layer (surface of poles 18) being located at least partially along the outer major surface of the sleeve.

9. Claims 8-10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mielke (6,157,100) in view of Cheung et al. (6,812,598).

Regarding claim 8, Mielke shows a magnetic motor (Figure 3) comprising:

- A first motor assembly (17) comprising:
- A first surface layer (surface of 19), and a first magnet (14a), fixed with respect to the first surface layer, structured to generate a first magnetic field; and
- A second motor assembly (6) comprising:
- A second surface layer (surface of 7), located so that at least a portion of the second surface layer is in contact with at least a portion of the first surface

Art Unit: 2834

layer, with the second surface layer comprising a material that has relative magnetic permeability of x, wherein x is greater than 2.0 (soft magnetic material), and

- A second magnet (6), fixed with respect to the second surface layer, structured to generate a second magnetic field, with the first and second motor assemblies being structured so that forces caused by the interaction of the first and second magnetic fields will cause the first motor assembly and the second motor assembly to move relative (left-right) to each other, and with the first and second surface layers being in moving contact to at least partially guide the relative motion of the first and second motor assemblies.

Mielke does not show a first bearing surface layer and a second bearing surface layer.

For the purpose of reducing cost, Cheung et al. teaches to form the bearing surface layers (16) between the moving component (4) and the stationary component (6).

Since Mielke and Cheung et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the first and second surface layers as the first and second bearing surface layers as taught by Cheung et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Art Unit: 2834

It is noted that Mielke also shows second bearing surface layer (surface of 7) having a magnetic permeability, saturation characteristic (made of soft magnetic), shape (of a disk) and location (axially within the coil 16) so that at least a portion (circumferential surface) of the second bearing surface layer is magnetically saturated by a magnetic field of the second magnet.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Mielke also shows the unsaturated portion being the center of the soft magnetic disk (7) and the saturated and unsaturated portions being located in the vicinity of the second magnet (16).

Regarding claim 18, the claim is similar to claim 8 except that it recites the second bearing surface layer being anisotropic in its magnetic permeability. It is noted that Mielke also shows the second bearing surface layer being anisotropic in its magnetic permeability (axial field of (7) being different with radial field).

10. Claims 11, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mielke in view of Cheung et al. and further in view of Trench (3,149,255).

Regarding claims 11 and 19, the machine of Mielke modified by Cheung et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention including the first motor assembly being a stator; the first bearing surface layer comprising a bushing; the first magnet being an electromagnet such that the first magnetic field can be selectively controlled; the second motor assembly comprise at least one permanent magnet except for the shaft; the second bearing surface layer being located over at least a portion of the shaft; and the second magnet located within the shaft.

Art Unit: 2834

For the purpose of transmitting output power externally, Trench shows the shaft (24); the second bearing surface layer (surface of pole 18) being located over at least a portion of the shaft; and the second magnet (17) located within the shaft.

Since Mielke, Cheung et al., and Trench are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the shaft as taught by Trench for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 12, it is noted that Mielke also shows the unsaturated and saturated portions, which are the center and surface of the disk (7), respectively.

11. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brende et al. in view of Cheung et al. and further in view of Nanba et al.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, the machine of Brende et al. modified by Cheung et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for x being greater than 500 Gauss or residual magnetization being greater than 1000 Gauss.

Nanba et al. shows x (magnet 61 used as bearing surface) being greater than 500 Gauss or residual magnetization being greater than 1000 Gauss for the purpose of increasing magnetic field strength.

Since Brende et al., Cheung et al., and Nanba et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use x greater than 500 Gauss or residual magnetization greater than 1000 Gauss as taught by Nanba et al. for the purpose discussed above.

12. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brende et al. in view of Cheung et al. and Nanba et al. and further in view of Trench (3,149,255).

Regarding claim 15, the machine of Brende et al. modified by Cheung et al. and Nanba et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention including the first motor assembly being a stator; the first bearing surface layer comprising a bushing; the first magnet being an electromagnet such that the first magnetic field can be selectively controlled; the second motor assembly comprise at least one permanent magnet except for the shaft; the second bearing surface layer being located over at least a portion of the shaft; and the second magnet located within the shaft.

For the purpose of transmitting output power externally, Trench shows the shaft (24); the second bearing surface layer (surface of pole 18) being located over at least a portion of the shaft; and the second magnet (17) located within the shaft.

Since Brende et al., Cheung et al., Nanba et al., and Trench are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the shaft as taught by Trench for the purpose discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,259 Page 11

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 16 and 17, it is noted that Brende et al. and Trench also shows motor being doubly salient motor and the shaft comprising: a plurality of annular permanent magnets (17); a plurality of pole pieces (18), with the magnets and the pole pieces being assembled in an alternating manner (Figure 1); and a sleeve (19) disposed at least partially around the alternating magnets and pole pieces (not claimed "entirely"), with the sleeve comprising an outer major surface, and the second bearing surface layer (surface of poles 18) being located at least partially along the outer major surface of the sleeve.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 21 and 23 are allowed.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/22/07

DANG LE

Page 12